

Ideals of nowhere dense sets in some topologies on integers

Marta Kwela

University of Gdańsk



Joint work with Prof. Andrzej Nowik

Winter School in Abstract Analysis 2018, section Set Theory & Topology
Hejnice, Czech Republic
31st January 2018

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ – the set of positive integers

$\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ – the set of non-negative integers

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ the symbol $\{an + b\}$ stands for the infinite arithmetic progression with the initial term b and the difference a :

$$\{an + b\} = \{an + b : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \{b, b + a, b + 2a, \dots\}.$$

(a, b) - the greatest common divisor of a and b

\mathbb{P} - the set of all prime numbers

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ – the set of positive integers

$\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ – the set of non-negative integers

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ the symbol $\{an + b\}$ stands for the infinite arithmetic progression with the initial term b and the difference a :

$$\{an + b\} = \{an + b : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \{b, b + a, b + 2a, \dots\}.$$

(a, b) - the greatest common divisor of a and b

\mathbb{P} - the set of all prime numbers

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ – the set of positive integers

$\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ – the set of non-negative integers

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ the symbol $\{an + b\}$ stands for the infinite arithmetic progression with the initial term b and the difference a :

$$\{an + b\} = \{an + b : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \{b, b + a, b + 2a, \dots\}.$$

(a, b) - the greatest common divisor of a and b

\mathbb{P} - the set of all prime numbers

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ – the set of positive integers

$\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ – the set of non-negative integers

For all $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ the symbol $\{an + b\}$ stands for the infinite arithmetic progression with the initial term b and the difference a :

$$\{an + b\} = \{an + b : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \{b, b + a, b + 2a, \dots\}.$$

(a, b) - the greatest common divisor of a and b

\mathbb{P} - the set of all prime numbers

Three topologies

One can consider three topologies on \mathbb{N} :

- Furstenberg's topology \mathcal{T}_F with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_F = \{\{an + b\} : b \leq a\};$
[normal, metrizable, zero-dimensional, totally disconnected]
- Golomb's topology \mathcal{T}_G with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_G = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a\};$
[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, not locally connected]
- Kirch's topology \mathcal{T}_K with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_K = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a, a - \text{square-free}\}.$
[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, locally connected]

All of these topologies have recently been studied by P. Szczuka.

Three topologies

One can consider three topologies on \mathbb{N} :

- **Furstenberg's topology** \mathcal{T}_F with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_F = \{\{an + b\} : b \leq a\};$
[normal, metrizable, zero-dimensional, totally disconnected]
- **Golomb's topology** \mathcal{T}_G with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_G = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a\};$
[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, not locally connected]
- **Kirch's topology** \mathcal{T}_K with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_K = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a, a - \text{square-free}\}.$
[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, locally connected]

All of these topologies have recently been studied by P. Szczuka.

Three topologies

One can consider three topologies on \mathbb{N} :

- Furstenberg's topology \mathcal{T}_F with the base

$$\mathcal{B}_F = \{\{an + b\} : b \leq a\};$$

[normal, metrizable, zero-dimensional, totally disconnected]

- Golomb's topology \mathcal{T}_G with the base

$$\mathcal{B}_G = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a\};$$

[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, not locally connected]

- Kirch's topology \mathcal{T}_K with the base

$$\mathcal{B}_K = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a, a - \text{square-free}\}.$$

[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, locally connected]

All of these topologies have recently been studied by P. Szczuka.

Three topologies

One can consider three topologies on \mathbb{N} :

- **Furstenberg's topology** \mathcal{T}_F with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_F = \{\{an + b\} : b \leq a\};$
[normal, metrizable, zero-dimensional, totally disconnected]
- **Golomb's topology** \mathcal{T}_G with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_G = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a\};$
[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, not locally connected]
- **Kirch's topology** \mathcal{T}_K with the base
 $\mathcal{B}_K = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a, a - \text{square-free}\}.$
[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, locally connected]

All of these topologies have recently been studied by P. Szczuka.

Three topologies

One can consider three topologies on \mathbb{N} :

- **Furstenberg's topology** \mathcal{T}_F with the base

$$\mathcal{B}_F = \{\{an + b\} : b \leq a\};$$

[normal, metrizable, zero-dimensional, totally disconnected]

- **Golomb's topology** \mathcal{T}_G with the base

$$\mathcal{B}_G = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a\};$$

[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, not locally connected]

- **Kirch's topology** \mathcal{T}_K with the base

$$\mathcal{B}_K = \{\{an + b\} : (a, b) = 1, b < a, a - \text{square-free}\}.$$

[Hausdorff but not regular, connected, locally connected]

All of these topologies have recently been studied by P. Szczuka.

Three ideals

An **ideal** on \mathbb{N} is a family of subsets of \mathbb{N} closed under taking finite unions and subsets of its elements. We assume that an ideal is proper ($\neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$) and contains all finite sets.

Obviously, in any (decent) topology, the nowhere dense sets form an ideal. Let us then define three ideals on \mathbb{N} :

- Furstenberg's ideal \mathcal{I}_F of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_F ;
- Golomb's ideal \mathcal{I}_G of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_G ;
- Kirch's ideal \mathcal{I}_K of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_K .

Three ideals

An **ideal** on \mathbb{N} is a family of subsets of \mathbb{N} closed under taking finite unions and subsets of its elements. We assume that an ideal is proper ($\neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$) and contains all finite sets.

Obviously, in any (decent) topology, the nowhere dense sets form an ideal. Let us then define three ideals on \mathbb{N} :

- Furstenberg's ideal \mathcal{I}_F of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_F ;
- Golomb's ideal \mathcal{I}_G of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_G ;
- Kirch's ideal \mathcal{I}_K of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_K .

Three ideals

An **ideal** on \mathbb{N} is a family of subsets of \mathbb{N} closed under taking finite unions and subsets of its elements. We assume that an ideal is proper ($\neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$) and contains all finite sets.

Obviously, in any (decent) topology, the nowhere dense sets form an ideal. Let us then define three ideals on \mathbb{N} :

- **Furstenberg's ideal** \mathcal{I}_F of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_F ;
- **Golomb's ideal** \mathcal{I}_G of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_G ;
- **Kirch's ideal** \mathcal{I}_K of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_K .

Three ideals

An **ideal** on \mathbb{N} is a family of subsets of \mathbb{N} closed under taking finite unions and subsets of its elements. We assume that an ideal is proper ($\neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$) and contains all finite sets.

Obviously, in any (decent) topology, the nowhere dense sets form an ideal. Let us then define three ideals on \mathbb{N} :

- **Furstenberg's ideal** \mathcal{I}_F of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_F ;
- **Golomb's ideal** \mathcal{I}_G of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_G ;
- **Kirch's ideal** \mathcal{I}_K of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_K .

Three ideals

An **ideal** on \mathbb{N} is a family of subsets of \mathbb{N} closed under taking finite unions and subsets of its elements. We assume that an ideal is proper ($\neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$) and contains all finite sets.

Obviously, in any (decent) topology, the nowhere dense sets form an ideal. Let us then define three ideals on \mathbb{N} :

- **Furstenberg's ideal** \mathcal{I}_F of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_F ;
- **Golomb's ideal** \mathcal{I}_G of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_G ;
- **Kirch's ideal** \mathcal{I}_K of all nowhere dense sets in \mathcal{T}_K .

Three ideals

Example (P. Szczuka)

$\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{I}_F$, but \mathbb{P} is dense in \mathcal{T}_G and \mathcal{T}_K (therefore it does not belong to \mathcal{I}_G nor \mathcal{I}_K).

Example (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

The set of even numbers $\{2n + 2\}$ is in \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K , but it belongs to the base of \mathcal{T}_F (therefore $\{2n + 2\} \notin \mathcal{I}_F$).

Theorem (M. Kwela)

$\mathcal{I}_K \subseteq \mathcal{I}_G$.

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

\mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are $F_{\sigma\delta}$ but not F_σ ideals.

Three ideals

Example (P. Szczuka)

$\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{I}_F$, but \mathbb{P} is dense in \mathcal{T}_G and \mathcal{T}_K (therefore it does not belong to \mathcal{I}_G nor \mathcal{I}_K).

Example (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

The set of even numbers $\{2n + 2\}$ is in \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K , but it belongs to the base of \mathcal{T}_F (therefore $\{2n + 2\} \notin \mathcal{I}_F$).

Theorem (M. Kwela)

$$\mathcal{I}_K \subseteq \mathcal{I}_G.$$

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

\mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are $F_{\sigma\delta}$ but not F_σ ideals.

Three ideals

Example (P. Szczuka)

$\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{I}_F$, but \mathbb{P} is dense in \mathcal{T}_G and \mathcal{T}_K (therefore it does not belong to \mathcal{I}_G nor \mathcal{I}_K).

Example (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

The set of even numbers $\{2n + 2\}$ is in \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K , but it belongs to the base of \mathcal{T}_F (therefore $\{2n + 2\} \notin \mathcal{I}_F$).

Theorem (M. Kwela)

$\mathcal{I}_K \subseteq \mathcal{I}_G$.

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

\mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are $F_{\sigma\delta}$ but not F_σ ideals.

Three ideals

Example (P. Szczuka)

$\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{I}_F$, but \mathbb{P} is dense in \mathcal{T}_G and \mathcal{T}_K (therefore it does not belong to \mathcal{I}_G nor \mathcal{I}_K).

Example (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

The set of even numbers $\{2n + 2\}$ is in \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K , but it belongs to the base of \mathcal{T}_F (therefore $\{2n + 2\} \notin \mathcal{I}_F$).

Theorem (M. Kwela)

$\mathcal{I}_K \subseteq \mathcal{I}_G$.

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

\mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are $F_{\sigma\delta}$ but not F_σ ideals.

Topological representations

We show that our new ideals (on countable set) may be connected to some σ -ideals in separable metrizable spaces. This connection has been introduced by M. Sabok and J. Zapletal.

Definition (M. Sabok, J. Zapletal)

Suppose that X is a separable metrizable space, $D \subseteq X$ – a dense countable set, and I – σ -ideal on X containing all singletons. Then

$$\mathcal{I}_I = \{A \subseteq D : cl(A) \in I\}$$

is an ideal on D .

Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} we say that \mathcal{I} has a *topological representation* if there are I, D, X as above, for which \mathcal{I} is isomorphic to \mathcal{I}_I (i.e. there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow D$ such that $A \in \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow f[A] \in \mathcal{I}_I$).

In such a case we say that \mathcal{I} is *represented on X by I* .

Topological representations

We show that our new ideals (on countable set) may be connected to some σ -ideals in separable metrizable spaces. This connection has been introduced by M. Sabok and J. Zapletal.

Definition (M. Sabok, J. Zapletal)

Suppose that X is a separable metrizable space, $D \subseteq X$ – a dense countable set, and I – σ -ideal on X containing all singletons. Then

$$\mathcal{I}_I = \{A \subseteq D : cl(A) \in I\}$$

is an ideal on D .

*Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} we say that \mathcal{I} has a **topological representation** if there are I, D, X as above, for which \mathcal{I} is isomorphic to \mathcal{I}_I (i.e. there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow D$ such that $A \in \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow f[A] \in \mathcal{I}_I$).*

*In such a case we say that \mathcal{I} is **represented on X by I** .*

Topological representations

We show that our new ideals (on countable set) may be connected to some σ -ideals in separable metrizable spaces. This connection has been introduced by M. Sabok and J. Zapletal.

Definition (M. Sabok, J. Zapletal)

Suppose that X is a separable metrizable space, $D \subseteq X$ – a dense countable set, and I – σ -ideal on X containing all singletons. Then

$$\mathcal{I}_I = \{A \subseteq D : cl(A) \in I\}$$

is an ideal on D .

*Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} we say that \mathcal{I} **has a topological representation** if there are I, D, X as above, for which \mathcal{I} is isomorphic to \mathcal{I}_I (i.e. there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow D$ such that $A \in \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow f[A] \in \mathcal{I}_I$).*

*In such a case we say that \mathcal{I} is **represented on X by I** .*

Topological representations

We show that our new ideals (on countable set) may be connected to some σ -ideals in separable metrizable spaces. This connection has been introduced by M. Sabok and J. Zapletal.

Definition (M. Sabok, J. Zapletal)

Suppose that X is a separable metrizable space, $D \subseteq X$ – a dense countable set, and I – σ -ideal on X containing all singletons. Then

$$\mathcal{I}_I = \{A \subseteq D : cl(A) \in I\}$$

is an ideal on D .

Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on \mathbb{N} we say that \mathcal{I} *has a topological representation* if there are I, D, X as above, for which \mathcal{I} is isomorphic to \mathcal{I}_I (i.e. there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow D$ such that $A \in \mathcal{I} \Leftrightarrow f[A] \in \mathcal{I}_I$).

In such a case we say that \mathcal{I} *is represented on X by I* .

So far, only two examples of ideals with topological representations have been studied, namely:

$$\text{NWD} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] : cl(A) \text{ is meager}\},$$

$$\text{NULL} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1] : cl(A) \text{ is of Lebesgue measure zero}\},$$

which are the ideals represented on $[0, 1]$ by σ -ideals of meager sets and sets of Lebesgue measure zero, respectively.

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on \mathbb{N} .

- (i) \mathcal{I} is *tall* if any infinite set in \mathbb{N} contains an infinite subset that belongs to \mathcal{I} .
- (ii) \mathcal{I} is *countably separated* if there is a countable family $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{N} such that for any $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \notin \mathcal{I}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $A \cap X_n = \emptyset$ and $B \cap X_n \notin \mathcal{I}$.
- (iii) \mathcal{I} is *weakly selective* if for any partition $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $X_i \in \mathcal{I}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\bigcup_{i \geq 2} X_i \notin \mathcal{I}$ there exists a selector of this partition which does not belong to \mathcal{I} .

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on \mathbb{N} .

- (i) \mathcal{I} is *tall* if any infinite set in \mathbb{N} contains an infinite subset that belongs to \mathcal{I} .
- (ii) \mathcal{I} is *countably separated* if there is a countable family $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{N} such that for any $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \notin \mathcal{I}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $A \cap X_n = \emptyset$ and $B \cap X_n \notin \mathcal{I}$.
- (iii) \mathcal{I} is *weakly selective* if for any partition $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $X_i \in \mathcal{I}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\bigcup_{i \geq 2} X_i \notin \mathcal{I}$ there exists a selector of this partition which does not belong to \mathcal{I} .

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on \mathbb{N} .

- (i) \mathcal{I} is *tall* if any infinite set in \mathbb{N} contains an infinite subset that belongs to \mathcal{I} .
- (ii) \mathcal{I} is *countably separated* if there is a countable family $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{N} such that for any $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \notin \mathcal{I}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $A \cap X_n = \emptyset$ and $B \cap X_n \notin \mathcal{I}$.
- (iii) \mathcal{I} is *weakly selective* if for any partition $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $X_i \in \mathcal{I}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\bigcup_{i \geq 2} X_i \notin \mathcal{I}$ there exists a selector of this partition which does not belong to \mathcal{I} .

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on \mathbb{N} .

- (i) \mathcal{I} is *tall* if any infinite set in \mathbb{N} contains an infinite subset that belongs to \mathcal{I} .
- (ii) \mathcal{I} is *countably separated* if there is a countable family $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{N} such that for any $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \notin \mathcal{I}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $A \cap X_n = \emptyset$ and $B \cap X_n \notin \mathcal{I}$.
- (iii) \mathcal{I} is *weakly selective* if for any partition $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $X_i \in \mathcal{I}$ for $i \geq 2$ and $\bigcup_{i \geq 2} X_i \notin \mathcal{I}$ there exists a selector of this partition which does not belong to \mathcal{I} .

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are tall, $F_{\sigma\delta}$ and weakly selective.

Conjecture (M. Sabok, J. Zapletal)

An ideal on a countable set has a topological representation if and only if it is tall, $F_{\sigma\delta}$ and weakly selective.

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are tall, $F_{\sigma\delta}$ and weakly selective.

Conjecture (M. Sabok, J. Zapletal)

An ideal on a countable set has a topological representation if and only if it is tall, $F_{\sigma\delta}$ and weakly selective.

Topological representations

Theorem (A. Kwela, M. Sabok)

An ideal on a countable set has a topological representation if and only if it is tall and countably separated.

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are tall and countably separated.

Corollary

Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K have a topological representation.

Topological representations

Theorem (A. Kwela, M. Sabok)

An ideal on a countable set has a topological representation if and only if it is tall and countably separated.

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)

Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are tall and countably separated.

Corollary

Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K have a topological representation.

Topological representations

Theorem (A. Kwela, M. Sabok)

An ideal on a countable set has a topological representation if and only if it is tall and countably separated.

Theorem (M. Kwela, A. Nowik)







Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K are tall and countably separated.

Corollary

Ideals \mathcal{I}_F , \mathcal{I}_G and \mathcal{I}_K have a topological representation.

Thank you!

References

-  Farah I., Solecki S., Two $F_{\sigma\delta}$ ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131**(6) (2003) 1971–1975.
-  Kwela M., Nowik A., Ideals of nowhere dense sets in some topologies on integers, preprint.
-  Kwela A., Sabok M., Topological representations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **422** (2015) 1434–1446.
-  Sabok M., Zapletal J., Forcing properties of ideals of closed sets, J. Symbolic Logic **76**(3) (2011) 1075–1095.
-  Szczuka P., Connections between connected topological spaces on the set of positive integers, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11**(5) (2013) 876–881.
-  Szczuka P., The connectedness of arithmetic progressions in Furstenberg's, Golomb's and Kirch's topologies, Demonstratio Math. **43**(4) (2010) 899–909.